TITLE SACHLO MARAG SAMIJIE SIRJIYA (cont'd ...2)

REFERENCES OF OLD DOCUMENTS:

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION 4/108
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION s/56

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION .	SPECIAL REMARKS
41	:		is an act of salutation. it also conveys the meaning of 'clinging to the neck' and 'embracing'.	
		•	ક્ય લેખી જે: Means to consider some one as a husband! Does the substituted word have anything to offer in the meaning or message of the Ginan?	
19	SAMI RAJO SIDAK PATIJE	AAPNA SATGURNE PAYE PADIJE	A new sentence is introduced here in the place of original one. Some Bhagat might have "corrected" this sentence in his hand-written CHOPDA !	
		7		

TITLE HET GUR NAR SUN KIJIYE

REFERENCES OF OLD DOCUMENTS:

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION 3/29
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION S/137

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPECIAL REMARKS
4	HUJOOR	HAJAR	A soft touch of modification, but capable of distorting the actual meaning ! "HUJOOR" means : In the presence of	HUJOOR is not a Hindu term
			"HAJAR" means " Ready	
4	- ,	SOHI	A small, but unecessary addition	
		82		

TITLE E VIRABHAI PIR PUCHHI TAME

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION 3/107
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION S

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPEC: REMAI
1 1 10	PIR KAMAVO KEWA	PIRNE SREVO TEWA	All these corrections are irrelevant, incompetent and unwarranted. They spoil the original meaning and message	and a them are from Hind
13	BHAI TE	AAPNO		k,
e e				1
	. (

TITLE BHARPUR RAJA TAKHTE BETHA

REFERENCES OF OLD DOCUMENTS:

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION 1 / 104
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION S /85

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPECIAL REMARKS
7	SAHEBJINU(N) BHANE SADARDIN	SAHEB-E BHANE SADARDIN GATIUN	Why this change ?	
	KAHET KABIRDIN SARNAGAT KIRTARJI	SARNAGAT KILTARJI	In order to remove the name of Pir Hassan Kabirdin, a meaning-less sentence has been created.	
				ĺ
			, , e	
			\ \ .	

TITLE JAMIN AASMAN SAHEBE JUGTE

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION 1/102
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION
- C.

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referre authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPE REM
1	SAHEB	SHAH-NE	What is wrong with the word SAHEB ?	Is the a
				term ?
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3				
	* .			

TITLE AVICHAL ALLAH AVICHAL KHALAK

REFERENCES OF OLD DOCUMENTS:

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION 2/68
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION S/110

SUNO GATIUN MOMNO Ginan # 49 indicates that we have no objection in using the term "SATGUR" in our Holy Ginans. Our only objection, therefore, lies with the word "BHARMA" in this rejected phrase. The word "BHARMA" or "BRAHMA" must have been considered as Hindu Element by the partners of the International Work-shop but it seems that they might not have studied its meaning on its wide range of context used in our Ginanic literature. A person who has studied our Ginanic literature can easily understand that a great deal of meaning of a great concept of Noor-e-Nabuwat can be condensed into this single word, which evokes a powerful image of the Eternal Divine Guidance to mankind and inspires spiritual force transformed into verbal manifestation.	VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPECIAL REMARKS
	13	Junion, Station, Stat	SUNO GATIUN MOMNO	have no objection in using the term "SATGUR" in our Holy Ginans. Our only objection, therefore, lies with the word "BHARMA" in this rejected phrase. The word "BHARMA" or "BRAHMA" must have been considered as Hindu Element by the partners of the International Work-shop but it seems that they might not have studied its meaning on its wide range of context used in our Ginanic literature. A person who has studied our Ginanic literature can easily understand that a great deal of meaning of a great concept of Noor-e-Nabuwat can be condensed into this single word, which evokes a powerful image of the Eternal Divine Guidance to mankind and inspires spiritual force transformed into	

TITLE AVICHAL ALLAH AVICHAL KHALAK (cont'd ...2)

REFERENCES OF OLD DOCUMENTS:

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION 2/68
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION S/

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPECIA REMARK
			Etymologically Brahma means Growth, Expansion, Evolution, Development, Self-existent Impersonal spirit, Universal soul or the source from which all created things emanate or with which they are identified. We have no objection in using these attributes for NOOR-E-NABUWAT but we are only reacting with Sanskrit language; but we do know that God has spoken once in Sanskrit language also. (Ref. Holy Quran XIV, 4) We have no objection in accept- ing the root word of this term, BRAHM in Ginan # 2/100. Why then have we rejected the word originated from the same root?	

PART	1	GINAN	NO.	84

TITLE MITDUN AAIN BOLO

REFERENCES OF OLD DOCUMENTS:

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION 1/80
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION SH/3

ASSET THE P				
VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions		CIAL
8	SHRI SATPANTHI	SATRE SATPANTH	This modification has transformed a meaningful expression into an absurd correction. Apart from all the other meanings "SHRI" also serves as a definite article. It points out a specific or a known person or thing, thus emphasizing it as the best known. How then does "SHRI" fit into Hindu terminology? (For more comments on this word, please refer to our review sheet on the Ginan entitled SHRI ISLAM SHAH AMNE MALYA)	got mpli

TITLE TAME JAPJO DIN NE RAAT

REFERENCES OF OLD DOCUMENTS:

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1913) EDITION G /9
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION SH/

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPECIAL REMARKS
	MINDAR/MANDIR	MANDAL	"MINDAR" or "MANDIR" means a house, a place of residence or KHANA. We have most willingly accepted this word in Ginan #60, but at this place it could not resist our rejection. "MANDAL" means a circle, a round object, path of heavenly bodies, a galaxy. It is really very difficult to understand as to why we have chosen the word MANDAL over MINDAR and what was basically wrong with the word MINDAR - and if at all it was - why have we accepted the same word in Ginan # 60 ?	MANDIR is n a Hindu wor

TITLE BHULO BHULO TE BHULO BHAMARDO

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION $_{\mbox{\scriptsize G}}/11$
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION SH/ 90
- C.

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPECIAL REMARKS
	BHARIYA RE LOL	BHATIYARE LOL	Who will sink in deep water ? A person who carries a heavy load on his head or a person who carries a tiffin box with him ? Our original version says : A person carrying a heavy load will sink, because he won't be able to swim with it, whereas our modified version says that a person who carries foodstuff on his head is going to sink. WHY ? we don't know the reason.	"BHARIYA" is a Hindu term.

TITLE TARE VAGA TE GINAN NA VAJA

REFERENCES OF OLD DOCUMENTS:

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION G /30
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION SH/

		4		
verse #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPECIA: REMARKS
	SARANG PRAN/ SARANGPAN	SATGUR RAI	"SARANGPAN" is a proper noun. It is a name of a person. It could be the name of any person. The subject of this Garbi, SARANGPAN, is however the King or the ruler of the state where Pir Shams performed Garbis in order to spread our Holy Mission. This performance had created such a ceremonious atmosphere of solemnity and reverence that the whole town was astonished with this awe- inspiring event. The ruler of the state, SARANGPAN, came to know about this miraculous performance and he, himself, came with his ministers to witness this event and to pay his homage to Pir Shams. This is a historical incident which Pir Shams has explained in this Garbi.	SARANGPAN not a HIND indeed, a HINDUSTANI Was it nec to change a body's pers name ?
3				

TITLE TARE VAGA TE GINAN NA VAJA (cont'd ...2)

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION G /30
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION SH/104 C.

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPECIAL REMARKS
			Most surprisingly we have noted here that the name of that noble Hindu King has been substituted with the word SATGUR RAI. By changing the name of a historical figure, primarily, we have distorted a glorious chapter of our own history and, secondly, we have addressed a mortal king with a title of "SATGUR RAI", which either belongs to our Holy Imams or perhaps to our Pirs. This was done only because of our false assumption of Hindu Elements in Ginans.	
			OLIMIS.	

TITLE PIYU PIYU KIJIYE

- A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION 3 /12
- B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION 9
 C.

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPEC REMA
3	HARINE	ALINE	We have been told by one of our sister Associations that they have once been directed by Hazrat Imam Sultan Muhammed Shah to switch over the word HARI with ALI. This Firman, however, is not recorded in any of our official publications and we do not know anything about its contents. We also do not know in what context this Firman was made. On the other hand, however, we have a most recent and officially printed Firman with us in which Hazrat Imam Sultan Muhammed Shah has said that:	The word is not a term.
1			Taking the philosophy of Das Avtars into consideration you should explain it in terms of the principle of Islam, and thus the concept of Khudawandi Noor (Light of Allah), that has been continued before the creation of this world, should	

REFERENCES OF OLD DOCUMENTS:

A. LALJI DEVRAJ (1905) EDITION 3 / 12

B. ISMAILIA ASSCN. INDIA (1952/54) EDITION 9 /72

TITLE	PIYU	PIYU	KIJIYE	(cont'd	2)
-------	------	------	--------	---------	----

VERSE #	ORIGINAL EXPRESSION as printed in the above referred authentic documents	MODIFIED VERSION as printed in Post Work-Shop Editions	OBSERVATION	SPECIAL REMARKS
			be explained. You should also explain this principle on the basis of the teaching given by Pir Sadardin during the time of Imam Islam Shah. Bombay, December 31, 1950 (from Kalame Imame Moobin, Part II - page # 473) We are not referring to this Firman in order to favour our arguments to the theory of "Das Avtar"; but we simply want to establish a fact that if we are supposed to take the Firmans of Hazrat Imam Sultan Muhammed Shah into our consideration, while making changes in our Ginans, then the abovementioned Firman should also be taken into consideration; however, we are not supposed to do so, because our present	